"Where do we find ourselves? In a series of which we do not know the extremes, and believe that it has none. We wake and find ourselves on a stair; there are stairs below us, which we seem to have ascended; there are stairs above us, many a one, which go upward and out of sight. But the Genius which, according to the old belief, stands at the door by which we enter, and gives us the lethe to drink, that we may tell no tales, mixed the cup too strongly, and we cannot shake off the lethargy now at noonday. Sleep lingers all our lifetime about our eyes, as night hovers all day in the boughs of the fir-tree. All things swim and glitter. Our life is not so much threatened as our perception."

Ralph Waldo Emerson

It was by pure accident, devoid of that expected angle of incidence that I gracefully turn this short excerpt of Emerson's brave and prophetic philosophies into a largely scientific one. Like earthquakes, rigors deep and profound borough true and unfeigned into the reigns of time. And there are rigors against the surfaces of diluted trends within diluted spatiotemporal domains promising divergence, variation and derivations which bear new and rigorous effects. Some moments are debriefed in absentia and barely make their marks.

When noonday comes, dignity must stand, and it cannot afford to tell tales. In other words, our approach towards noonday marks in this chapter must be scientifically and universally applicable. Noonday marks are treacherous and deep. They soar per-currently above perception as they tend the undercurrent for the resurgence of life as contra-perception. All things have edges that must retain their stance or push them to peril. Time is not a passerby's plaything.

Time is ultimately and, in the least, of zero dimensionality. It cannot be nothing at all because consciousness does not have the ability to perceive nothing at all. Our time postulate must stand on consciousness either from outside of time or time's possible or impossible perception of its own passing. Zero dimensionality is geometrically a point or a group of points.

On this point we must advance our understanding of differentials. This is important because we must understand the conception of increasing dimensionality and eventually be able to ask how dimensional increment can be made with or without equivalence in the eventual foundations for an existential coordinating system for subjective entities relative to time. Being must be present for time to be present. Nobody falls or falters in progress who does not have the innate genetic capability to sustain or catch herself.

If it is in such a diluted spatiotemporal domain that time has a calling, then it has a presupposition. That is, we must assume it has a calling on itself which presupposes time, factoring in causation at some point. Thus, time if we presume it, may pass against itself as it may, in the case of possible parallelism, drunk from a "Lethe" as Emerson puts it so we may "tell no tales". As you may have noticed, this situation tells a tale because there can never be two instances of essential time passing at the same time through time. it can no longer be presupposed that time is the causation for some forgetful state. It becomes the forgetful state. It becomes the forgetful state and the alert state moving concurrently. The reigns of time here is in process that forgetful resurging void edged in recurrent percussion harmonious in line with a sandstorm empty on its own mark. It is that thing drunk with space without any true rigorous effect.

We must endeavor this journey scientifically from one point to another, one dimension to the optimizable potentiality of another higher. The important aspect of this is to do so in real possible time from probabilistic space to vectored space. However difficult it must be to conceive at the moment, I need you to know we can do it. We will form an antecedent- consequent relativity that will not just produce a negating consequential infraction but also resolve and remedy the situation so the antecedent does not antagonize the consequence. That is, we create an identity vectorial space in direct support for a sustaining consequent relative and consequent to a consequential infraction. We will have a consequence that is newer and formatively progressive for identity and existence rather than against it. We have great causes. Now we must set out to achieve them.

Here, unlike the last chapter, we need to remove the implicit relativity with y axis. In other words, we cannot afford both an explicit and implicit relativity with the y axis. We can afford neither. Taking the derivative or integrating will not suffice here. For instance, we cannot say $x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = -1$. We know this equates to $\sqrt{-1}$, and we know this to be an absurd. We can do this by going from zero dimensionality to third dimensionality and invoking the number two we used in the

last chapter from the necessitating consequential xy relativity infraction because we can neither instantiate nor progress identity from a nullifying equivalence. X =y in xy coordination in the third dimensional Cartesian coordinate = (x, x, z). Please note here that y = z especially with curvatures can never be (y, y, z). By doing this we create a surface (in the second dimension, displacing y) that is parallel to the non-implicit y axis. We get two non-implicit y axes.

We must ask this because it is important: is x=y the same as y=x? That is, does it matter what side of the equivalence the variables are? We must go from west to east to get rid of the implicit and explicit relativity to y. Here, it must also be noted that the origination point for (x, x, z) is not the same as (x, y, z). They don't have the same origination, zero dimensionality.



There are several things in that diagram I will explain, and there are some that will be explained in subsequent chapters. For instance, there is a near perfect (actually perfect if you will pardon my modesty) perpendicular parallax which will be discussed in the next chapter so titled. What allowed us to do this is still very much a parallax. The x travels eastward as antecedent, causation here, to meet an identity nullifying y resulting in a consequential infraction that stops y in its tracks. That is, there is a formative curvature there y can never afford, thus the tangential barrier making any y progression there absolutely impossible. It is a y displacement from second dimensionality to a third dimensionality state without why being able to afford any consequential infraction of its own because it has none and has no need for any. In other words, identity consequential infractions here have dead end point for dead end time proper x time differentials. The second x, the third dimensionality x is strictly formative against the xz plane surface. This shows you just how amazing science can be because from this, you can note that there are laws subtle in their upbringing in biogenetics formative for the Y chromosomes physics and math can predict here that y cannot afford to disobey. We must now root this unusual but quite achieved first, that is, the translocation of first dimensionality x to third dimensionality x in real time for both x and y differentials. This was accidentally achieved by me just doing the necessary mathematical work. We must root it and remove the y implicit relativity at the same time using polar differentials in real probabilistic space-time.

The equation for this is $x^2 + x^2 + z^2 = 2$. This is also the same as $2x^2 + z^2 = 2$. This is an ellipsoid with semi-major axis, the longest radius as 1.414, and the semi-minor axis, the shortest as 1. Here, we need the discriminant to tell us what the nature of the root is and we may link this relativity in subsequent chapters. The discriminating equation or rather the discriminant is -8z+16. Finally, we need to be smart here as we find the polar equations...we cannot do this with the implicit Y. So we must denominate the usual equation by 2 as was done in the last chapter that gave us the denominating absurdity equation. And we must go from 0 to 2 not to square root of two.

$$\int_0^2 (\sin x - \cos x) \, dx$$

If we wish it, we can do the y value relatively including the denominator and here we will get 0.25342, confirming my suspicions that the y is restricted to the first quadrant in the xy coordination relative to x. The z in our equation knows movement from the straight line usual 3rd dimension z(not visible in the diagram) to the second quadrant third dimensional z. this further supports the 4th dimensional migration in my book *ignorance and poliopolitics*. The answer to the polar differential above 0.50684 is extremely important in this and subsequent chapters. We must discuss it briefly.

0.5 is not half. Not fifty shades of some worthless grey. It is a dimensional implication. What was never taught in mathematics is how significant the zero before the decimal point is. The zero is supposed to be insignificant but there isn't anything more relevant in this set of digits than it. It is very much part of the foundational and optimize-able potential for x to remove the identity nullifying implicit relation with y and achieve a natural identity. The rest of the digits, the digits after the decimal point, called significant figures in mathematics are also

very important. They tell of inevitable curvature interference points and they tell no tales. There is a 5th dimensional resurging spatiotemporal reintegration possible relative to a 6th dimensional larger universal relativity.

I know it is difficult to see it at the moment, but the digits are loaded with absurdity and relativity-complexes. My insight here is mainly from my knowledge of mathematics and physics but I want you to have an idea of the vectorization I see. There is a curvature loop between the "6" and the "4", a causation of vectorial necessity at "8" which loops back to the foundational zero dimensional at zero before the decimal. This was discussed foundationally in *ignorance and poliopolitics*. We will discuss more on this topic in later chapters. Now, more insight on noonday marks.

Time tells no tale it cannot witness. And if we linger along the edges of perception we lose the tale it tells and become the percept within the sandstorm, drifting in a monotonous cycle of dunes trying to find ourselves within events never selfdefining, always time-consuming. The force is not life-sustaining but draining; the systemic energy is malevolent in higher cycles. The struggle, the conflicts are fast paced and pitched against events as time finds itself the witness. Here is the beginning of the time series with keen and hopelessly incessant appeal, with time, the tell-tale witness, event, the tell-tall drifter, and the observer.

Do I freely brand my being with rigors deep and profound and brace myself for all possible pain-bearing but true repercussion and percussion of noonday marks? Do I drink and re-drink the "Lethe" like some red rink constantly edged out and lost to existence and predestinate consciousness, find the out of sight stair and climb it, retrace my steps to find a different route or drift with the empty percussion glorious while discordant and undefined? What I must do here depends on being, one never estranged from natural identity, does it not?