





edewlogics
ade ronke



an-animated-life

x coordination: essential axes and representation

Essential Point Migration and Parallaxes, Essential Points and
Radical axes, and more.

www.edewlogics.com

DONATE TO SUPPORT THE FREE BOOKS FOR LIFE CAUSE

You may also support by buying music by ril. **Find ril at BANDCAMP**. Thank you.

You may choose to donate whatever you may through lomopeju@protonmail.com paypal handle. Thank you.

Chapter 12 alw - an-animated-life
Foundations of x coordinations
Essential axes and representation

All rights, including the right to reproduce this book in any way, shape or form belong to the author. No reproduction in whatever form or manner may be done without the prior consent of the author and publisher.

Foundations for x coordinations

CHAPTER 12 - an-animated-life

edewlogics

Copyright © 2024 by edewlogics, all rights reserved

There is no conqueror for disharmony—no possible leveling for its phases, no potential of parity for its ends, no astute discipline for its subjects, and its discordance with resonance makes it inevitable it alienates depth. The knowledge of depth must own its own causation or its extreme dilemma must become its death

The initiative x ensures the differentiating x and the necessity between them must in its inevitable dynamics, be of natural causation, of natural consequence, of natural effect.

The necessity of a cycle is its own necessity scientifically. And that cannot be said simply. Certainly not as redundantly as I just did. The definitive necessity of a planet being round is the necessity we derive from the conceptions of our world and how it works. It is what may or may not enable living things to have the probability of existence and the sustainability of that very existence.

The necessity of the currently erroneous counterclockwise is not counterclockwise at all in xy coordination. Counterclockwise, the arc or rather curvature we know is not backwards, farthest from the fact. It is just a direction. You'll notice it was designed mainly relative to the common right hand use propensity. The counterclockwise is counter-existential in the second quadrant as the first quadrant necessitates a first and the second a second the first cannot afford in realistic terms. An illogical, in fact, irrational abstract movement from its inception.

The second quadrant, unlike the first, is an initiative x space. It is the necessary space between two x coordinating essential points which from the first quadrant, these points must be black and must be for the counter-existential to exist. The points must be black and have an initiative x inception. Or the counter existential cannot exist and nothing can exist as consequence or effect from the first quadrant.

The simplest form of this in nature is like night and day. Between the two is a huge spatiotemporal necessity of applicable spatial, temporal, procedural, vectoral and astronomical scientific differential. This differential is necessarily in tune, necessarily opposed. The light Einstein equated for instance is an opposition to this necessity, which brings me to the potential of doubting the nonlimitation of and therefore travel of light. Is this like Gulliver's travels—becoming unfavorable at proximal inspection? And if you don't think the difference between day and night is spatiotemporal and necessitated, you're not thinking.

In making and breaking, there is a preconception of an effect, a necessary passage, an eventual event. In breaking and making, there is an effect from an abstracted causation, a necessary passage, an eventual consequential effect.

The necessity of the counterclockwise in continuity is not counterclockwise—it is a necessitating x coordinating existential clockwise derivation of two different existential differential equations. That is, the counterclockwise direction is a geometric differential rather than a temporal one. To say it is both is to incur a differential displacement because of the directional differential.

That is, time is an abstraction when it comes to the continuity of identity, of individuality. Time is an abstraction in its representation in continuity.

This may be difficult to understand because most people are used to the geometric alignment of time. But what Einstein didn't truly explore was the fact that time was relativistic with itself. Not merely from causation, effect, consequence or any relative conception aligned with it in physics. Time's workings is working against its lapse or lag as you may as much as it is working for it. Time is inseparable from its conception, not from its inception, therefore not from its causation, whatever that is in procession.

If how we tell time is as a natural phenomenon, which it is, how we interpret time is a relativity. How we represent it is too simplistic in this case to matter to intelligence. The representation must be an abstraction for common folks which isn't what science is. There is hardly any linear exposure to it experientially and experimentally. It is rather abstractly directionally apparent. What resolves it is a dynamic differential.

In nature, time is a differential return. Here we can use the differential returns in finance and economics which we can later align with pure mathematics differently later. The semantics here captures a pathway for understanding this aspect. Differential Returns essentially involve three main things: "composition, return, and time effects".

The composition necessitates causation, the return necessitates the causation must know a return policy necessarily involving the composition of causation. The time effects are essential to inception and spatiotemporal continuity relative to the composition of causation. The famous Einsteinian equation knows none of these. It is not directed in this manner.

Such, time, this disagreement between Newton and Einstein means what? I am merely asking the question here. Is it artificially sourced and linear in observation? Does it defect to the gravitational deflection space which it must be subjected to. While I must discuss this further in another chapter, I must ask another simple

question here—at what point are we not imagining light year travels? If the travel incurs a case of limits and continuity, must it necessarily be so that it must? Otherwise, must it not?

An essential point in x coordination is a differential point inevitable to inception, consciousness, conception, time, perspective and all things referential and relativistic to constitution, composition and coordination. Essential point migration is vectorial. In xy coordination, three points are needed for a point to be three dimensional.

I realized there is a great error in this limitation as x coordination needs two points to have a line, a directed line in its sphere and field of coordination to know the possible scope of three dimensionality. In xy coordination, there is only one point for x coordination. Differential Returns in nature necessitates consciousness. Thus, inception and causations are not the only things important here. There is dimensionality to points we must explore.

In assessing this point, I first took the point (3,1) from the first quadrant in xy coordination, counterclockwise on the horizontal in xy coordination and began to notice the errors—xy coordination can not move this line because it can not move it horizontally. Either there is an error in the overall 3D representation of the world or there is an error in the xy coordination complex in vectorial 3D representation and 3D space for x coordination.

Now if I take up (4,1) from the first quadrant in xy coordination, I realized there is a fifth dimensionality spatial framework xy coordination can never access. This fifth dimensionality exists in the second quadrant. If I go beyond the 6 in the lambda derivative differential in the famous Einstein equation as we did in *alw* chapter 10 framework of *The Lambda Derivative*, and I must, I will pull the Lambda into space understanding the full implication of it.

If we pull the triangular ends up into space within the conceptual sphere of the four quadrants, or invert it, incurring its subversion as fact in space, we would have a possible XY in the first quadrant and an impossible Y in the same space—this generates an impossible abstraction. We could have an eight-sided kitelike polygon in a two dimensional framework. If we have two of those and project it, then you must realize, something is still very much wrong. This is a 2D geometrical figure in the supposition of three dimensional space—an impossible and scientifically degenerate extension into space for the initiative x.

To move this geometric degeneration in realistic 3D space, there is a need to go beyond the first quadrant's vertical space, a need to move horizontal initiative x

space though the Y vertical. This is only possible through the initiative and differential x. The journey for this natural necessity spans the chapter-verse of this book. It must be done. Reading my book *Ignorance and Poliopolitics* to get a little familiar with the necessity for such dimensional propensities is recommended.

There is a necessity to connect the two points coordinating an initiative x directed line as a counter-clockwise flatness against xy coordination. To connect the line between those two points to a third in the xy triangulated format which must happen in the Lambda differentiating x equation is rather unnatural. We must go beyond xy coordinates to achieve this. We must go beyond xy coordination to achieve a lot.

Xy coordination is a linear system of the form $Ax + By = C$ and a more complex construct of such equations. In the initiative x relativity conceptions and complex, the initiative x mode is not xy coordination's perpetually horizontal mode and curvature mode. That is, it is curvature and tangent. It is vectorial and natural. And this must be depicted in differential equations. X coordination is the coordination with tangential ability and natural spatial vectoral momentum.


Thus this equation under initiative x terms will make a differentiable from A, the coefficient perpetually equal to 2, equipping the differential x with a differential involvement with Y, a consequential infraction relative to it. This is limiting for initiative x, so we must go beyond it. The x attribution will be a perpetually even number.

And the B attributed to y or By will never be needed. The equation becomes $2x - By = C$ where x is an increment from zero and 2x is an infinite possibility of even numbers because even numbers plus even numbers come to even numbers, and odd numbers plus odd numbers come to even numbers. While relative to xy coordination, this equation is limiting for the initiative x. Again, we must go beyond it.

I must address the slope because it has the why, that is, the Y difference in the numerator status. The point slope equation is important and relative to xy coordinates the initiative x equation which makes the equatable to 2 in the unit is

$x = m(y - b) + y_1$ where b must be zero and the slope is 1. The other is $x = m(y - b) - y_1$, which gives $\frac{2}{0}$, which is "undefined" in mathematics. I will not talk about the undefined aspect of this possibility at the moment but will rather adopt the (2, 1) coordinates. This is necessary if it has to have any value in the equation.

The slope possibilities being 1 and 0 tells me my inclination that Y has no vectorial horizontal relativity pull is correct. With that in mind we must invert the projectile in x inclination, that is the initiative condition towards the seeming possible (what I consider to be absolutely impossible) negative y in xy coordination. We must as I must, not just move a mountain but invert it. It must be done and if anything can do it, it is the initiative x in x coordination. This is finally mathematics that must include space science. We can call this the 4 initial L's of an eventual animated W (by animated here, I mean integrated, essential, differential and vectored) as we go from ignorance to knowledge in the animated cumulative chapters of this book.




fig#1

Now we must see the horrible and grave error of this in xy coordination. To do this, we must exemplify the nonexistential, lame and non-implicit quartering that occurs in xy coordination. Xy coordination is in essence a quartering through a single point referred to as origin. It's like quartering particulate propensity in a lame position towards a generative imposition absent in an equation. Cut off from life and life source, it isn't existential in the possible world imposed upon it. Anatomically decimated, the functional dies—it must decay. A tragedy of no natural life for x coordination, therefore one, it becomes inevitable to be rebelled against with intention.

We take the $(0, b)$ coordinate for the slope $y = mx + b$, and make xy coordination what it is relative to the initiative x inception and migration—the intercept of its own intercept. Xy after all is a product relative to x. We have the equation $(mx + b)(mx + b)$. We get $mx^2 + 2mxb + b^2$. Now we graph the

quartering to see what it looks like in an instance of y . An instance of the quartering equation is formative in $0.25x^2 + 0.5x + 1 = 0$.



fig#2

The solution to the equation is $x = -1 + \sqrt{3}i$ and $x = -1 - \sqrt{3}i$. Here you will note the radical aspect comes to 0. The global minimum is $(-1, 0.75)$. The y intercept is $(0, 1)$. The 0.75 remaining becomes evident in the 1 again. There is no global maximum because xy coordination is set for the nonlimitation. This equation is a terrible error as the -1 is atmospheric, strictly belonging to the horizontal momentum, not in the positive Y framework, therefore in the initiative x atmospheric sphere and framework.

Time is a co-visioneer. It is in its very coexistence with space that movement occurs. So here I must make a way to go beyond essential points in x coordination to point migration. To further substantiate the unsustainability propensity of the quartering, we will do some logical conductivity and computational aptitudes, that is, the natural ability, possibility or propensity for something being. I am certain the initiative x in x coordination is not the same as the x in xy coordination because the initiative x is an x that necessitates another x towards point migration in the earthian space as opposed to some arbitrary x in say the martian planet for instance(an attempt at comedy, forgive me).

There is no possibility the initiative x is an aftereffect x like the one established in the xy coordination XYZ because. The Y the derivative x incurs must imply 2 Y 's without ever incurring XXY . The drive for initiative x is relative and against. It is important to me that I separate the scientific irreality of the after x attachment from the initiative x , differential x and x coordination. We take the value ascribed to y as 1 and true as it is used in computation and electronics. True is 1 and 0 is False in a

world created from xy coordination and exclusive of x coordination. Here is a table of values for the one of the many relativity we're considering at the moment.

		XOR	OR	AND	DECIMAL VALUE	NAND	DECIMAL VALUE
T	T	0	0	0	0	1	
T	F	1	1	0	6	1	
F	T	1	1	0	6	1	
F	F	0	1	1	3	0	
→	→	→	→	→	15	↓ →	14

The binary value of 15 is 1111.

fig#3

These binary values are essential and deterministic on the abstraction level in tracing x coordination essential point migration against xy for what is possible or impossible for XY and XYZ coordinates relative to x coordination. Next, we trace point migration to another binary value.

Based on our brief discussion of x differentiation, it is not difficult to establish the fact that xy coordination can not realistically afford x coordinating x^2 . There is the fabricated XXX relative for instance. The upward curvature x^2 is an upward facing illusion relatively and x^3 is a slippery slope for relativity, an oddity strain for an evenly coded origination.

Essential point migration occurs when essential mass (composition) moves from point to point without losing implicit potency and potential. This is why it is important that the differential between the initiative x and XYZ is established. The loss of spatiotemporal essence must be prevented for differential return..

To get this point migration initiative especially relative to xy coordination, we add the initiative to its derivative in this instance, and we go through incremental numeric values to differentiate the essential point migration from the lame impotent space for the same. Note here that it doesn't include negative values. The space in this case must be of compounding and engrossing reality of point origination relative to progression in the positive for xy coordination. That is, xy intensification, the product of xy being the intersection of its own intersect, therefore projecting itself against this intersection in its triangularity, circularity and more.

Here, I would rather not express the zeroth point equivalence because it needs more insight and exemplification to be fully understood for its full potential. I refer you to the free essay on my site, *The Nought Phenomenon, the Zero Paradox, the Zero Enigma, and the Expression of Numbers in Nature* where I defined a point as "a zero without an imaginative aspect but the intensity of its expression". That understood here is the table for the discussion in the previous paragraph.

x	$x^2 + 2x$
1	1 + 2 = 3
2	4 + 4 = 8
3	9 + 6 = 15
4	16 + 8 = 24
5	25 + 10 = 35
6	36 + 12 = 48
7	49 + 14 = 63
8	64 + 16 = 80
9	81 + 18 = 99
10	100 + 20 = 120

fig#4

Aside from the fact that the zero enigma at the 8th numeric input is 80 and here you must notice that the differences between the crossed out odd numbered values

are 8 for every incremental oddity value. 8, I must note here relative to this table that 8 is 8 on the vertical and infinity on the horizontal. 8 is a spatiotemporal initiative x number on the ordinary level although it has complexes we must eventually discuss. This is very essential when we hold discussions about x coordination, venation and spatial oxidation relative to venation and spatial disposition rather than displacement. Thus a new way of viewing spatial momentum and coordination.

Other than that, what I am about to say may not be easy to see but I am going to elucidate and detail it so you can see it. There is a periodic differential between the 99 equivalence from the 9 and the 120 summative equivalences from the 10 necessitating a deviation readable in progression. It is readable from the 0 after the 8 and the 0 after the 120 and the 999 occurring from the 9 and 99. A period in physics is defined as "the interval of time it takes for a motion to repeat". This is exemplified for instance as earth in its orbit and water waves. It is localized. It is universal. It is, in another instance, the initiative x coordination curvature with a localized tangent.

Also, you must note the expectation of the eleventh may be from this point, a synthetic linearization. The period from this numeric sequence can be abstracted like this:




So, we now incur binary 11111 which is 31. You must also notice that this 31 occurs back at numeric value 1 and equivalence 3. It is a straight line and definitely

a straight line with two alpha numbers, 1 and 3 back and forth. Therefore, the zeroth numeric value and eventual equivalence is not something merely negligible; we must engage this in coming chapters.

It must be noted that the upper singularities belong strictly to the initiative x and are extremely differentiable from the 3 singularities or the relativity of the singularity to the 3. The upper singularities are not merely spatiotemporal but are counter-reactionary, counterfactual and counter-existential to the lower singularities. This simple abstraction is simplistic as well as complex. Every distinctive dimensionality of the initiative x has implication and consequence for the Y, XY and XYZ counterfactuals.

There are differentials between the orthogonality of xy coordination and x coordination. The table is one such example. I defined xy coordination as the intensity derived from its being the intersection of its own intersect which x coordination isn't. X coordination must be the coordination formative of and progressive for earth as a planet because unlike xy coordination, it needs spatial differential relative to itself. Earth must know spatiotemporal relativity to exist, spatiotemporal propensity to persist. In another chapter we will discuss perception and conception of time.


The symbol relative to the initiative x is one associated with laws and theorems in logic and mathematics and further exemplifies the importance of exploring the initiative x complex and simplex, is the interderivability symbol, which we can further differentiate from the symbol "H" for understanding. They are opposing symbolically except for maybe another extended horizontal appendage. "H" has only one of those.



Meanwhile, I want to further prove the validity of the numeric period as that exclusive of the y coordinate in the spatial upper zone, that is, one exclusive of the 111 it takes out or the triangular 1111(4). The 1 and the 3 on either side is 1111-Triangle. This is easily achieved outside x coordination by coordinating the 1's as points to make a triangle. It is apparent to me that because of the curvature involved in the numeric period, this period belongs to the initiative x and quite an inverse to the symbolic Y.

We can do this simply if we impose the Lamda derivative from chapter 10 onto this curve. We can do it experientially and experimentally as well. Just this simple experiment. Tighten the palm of one hand to the wrist of the other in a closed fist and the inner side of your hand facing you. Arc your elbows so that the lower two angles are approximately 30° each making 60° lower angles. Now draw your hand inwards and towards the lower realm to create an inversion of your hands. This will get you to a flat at an angle of 30° . Now there is a difference between the angle made with the horizontal and that made from the vertical in this case. I will

show you an illustration.



The 60° angle vertical owns the numeric period and is not the same as the 30° . I surmised the 30° horizontal must be flat. I understood why the other vertical was flat but asked myself why this Y return from the lambda derivative was flat relative to me and it became apparent after I plugged in the tangent of the 30° and it gave me 0.57735026918962576451. It was the yellow reflective third phase derivation. That is the 30° vertical is of first quadrant xy coordination. The 0 before the 57 belongs to the initiative x and you can trace the flat as xy third phase derivative from the table of variable of x and $x^2 + 2x$ which gives a value of 15. The flat can be traced from the first 5 after the decimal point to the 5 that accounts for the other 57. And the 51 at the end of the 20th number after the decimal point sustains the fact of the inversion.

Why did I choose the tangent of the angle to solve for this mystery? Because Cosine of 0 is 1. And in the 30° triangle the relevance to the differential vertical is in the adjacent and the hypotenuse. So, I had to remind myself and confirm that the Cos 0 is the destination for the lines joining the adjacent with the hypotenuse. In other words Cos 0 is not one. It is 1 to 1. It is a coincidence. 0 does matter and in the body of this work, it will continue to matter.

If we compare this coincidence to the consequential infraction we talked about in previous chapters, then we must consider several things. We consider the notion of juxtaposition because the coincidence event was made to happen by an initiative x occurrence. The consequential infraction is incurred by the spatiotemporal event landscape like a genetic pool or a sequence vectorial phase propensity like seasons and weather changes for instance. In this case, when initiative x encounters a Y that cannot allow it to exist within the environment of existence and survival, it

must decimate it by necessitating coincidence, the occurrence of two Y's relative to initiative x which cannot fit the xy coordination landscape. The xy coordination landscape relatively occurs in just a single quadrant.

Here I implore you to read chapter 10 and 11 of *alw* available free on my website before continuing. To see this single quadrant environment and the necessity of an opposing reality vectorally, you raise two hands sideways to your eye's view. Now slowly lean one angularly until you reach the juxtaposition that becomes the cosine of 0. This necessitates an environment of proximal and close reality and the ability to decimate without destroying as for example the existence of darkness necessitates light. The 1 on the other side exists. The 1 to 1 is what must occur relative to the initiative x.

To see this genetically, the two one to 1 must twist, as in genetic twist before it comes to the 0 counterfactually, that is becoming what it is counterfactually relative to its relative environment. This necessitates relativity and survival of both within the very same environment. The decimation is not destruction but rather a decimation to necessitate an alternate reality within the very same pool of events so an alternate reality can occur. It is nature in action. And it must be explored fully.

The dictionary definition of juxtaposition is "the fact of two things being seen or placed close together with contrasting effects." Here I must also add that it's for contrasting events because the eventual 1 in one event and the 1 to 1 is an effect of the counterfactual infraction and being able to survive the particular environment to sustain the existence as the initiative x both for the complex and the simplex. Now, we must incur the definition of coincidence as the "correspondence in nature or in time of occurrence".

I must also add space and events. This is the correspondence in nature, that is, events, within a spatiotemporal set framework of relative reference. Because the nature of coincidence is in their co-occurrence as events, their event-pathology can be validated or they didn't happen. In this way, a lag or lapse may be described as relativity between two points. They must be validated in forward and reverse directions. They must be validated as vectoral-procedural. A one sided linear validation of time might as well be as easily composed as fictional magic as time travel and wormholes. They are not tenable with relative complexes, thus anything can be imagined of them.

They must, based on the necessity of the correspondence in nature, be identical by composition and causation. I highly suspect the time lapse in Einstein's gravitational reality is coincidence rather than composition especially because a photon and its features is yet to be fully explored in physics and quantum physics

The third phase derivative must be viewed differently with reference to limits and continuity. I have talked briefly about venation and I know I will dedicate a chapter towards further discovering the changing processes for the initiative x both on the localized and astronomical level. At the redding or yellowing phase of venation, which as was discussed is the third phase, deoxygenation occurs in venation in these phases, such these stages are not spatial. It puts xy coordination in a strictly localized mode, necessitates it in reflection space. This brings me to the discussion of the derivative e , euler's number, which uses the initiative x vectoral imperative x^2 to achieve the e as a limit. We must go beyond this xy limitation. Why and how?

Here is a first of the equations taking the limit of x^2 plus 1 raised to the power of $1/x^2$ as x goes to 0. I know this is an xy derivative because of the the need to add 1 to x^2 and divide 1 by x^2 . The only power xy is capable of in these realms is in multiplying itself by itself. It knows numerics. It can multiply. It is not additive relative to the initiative x in any capacity. It has no individuating x property. So it is incapable of the x^2 property. It has no dividing properties either. All of that is imaginative.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0} \left((1 + x^2)^{\frac{1}{x^2}} \right)$$

Solution

e

I am now going to get the initiative x property back to a natural initiative x states through a couple of steps.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0+} \left((1 + x^2)^{\frac{1}{-x^2}} \right)$$

Solution

$\frac{1}{e}$

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^-} \left((1 + x^2)^{\frac{1}{-x^2}} \right)$$

Solution

$$\frac{1}{e}$$

0 does matter. So now I must find what the real numeric reality of xy is relative to e by taking away what it cannot afford in its instances.

$$(1 - 1/e) + 1/e = 1.$$

$$1 + 0.75 = 1.75 \text{ (against the quartering).}$$

$$1/e = 0.36.$$

$$1.75 - 0.36 = 1.39.$$

This number makes a lot of sense to me because it's a multiplier. 1 times 1 is 1, 1 times 3 is 3, 3 times 3 is 9, and 3 times 9 is 27. This is the multiplier line for this e derivative.

Never undermine decimals especially in first, second, third and fourth place.

Now to the reality of e in the initiative x simplex and complex.

$$(1 + 1/e + e) = 4.086161269630487557.$$

I needed the longer precision math equivalence here so you can see the initiative x extremely fractional coincidence of 75 and its reverse on the same line 57, that is in the "7557". The initiative x relativity with e is a progressive one beyond the mere coincidental occurrence in the odd third phase. And I will show you that with a series of integration. First I must affirm a postulation rigidly—that zero is an even number. This will be shown in the additive integrations as follows.

$$\int x^0 = x + C$$

$$\int x^1 = x/2 + C$$

Now to the resultant, that is vectorizable integration.

$$\int 2x = x^2 + C$$

$$\int 3x = 3x^2/2 + C$$

$$\int 4x = 2x^2 + C$$

$$\int 5x = 5x^2/2 + C$$

$$\int 6x = 3x^2 + C$$

It is pretty apparent that the even resultant integrates the same as x^0 . Now to the integration of e.

$$\int e = ex + C$$

If you inspect the answer directly above carefully, you will realize it is a product of e and the integration of x raised to the power of zero— $\int x^0 = x + C$. It also becomes apparent that the integration of the values with odd coefficients are never complete, that is, they are perpetually fractionalized like some torso without its head or vice versa, always part and never whole, always incomplete. That is, the x oddity relative to the initiative x cannot be integrated to maintain identity but is rather something external.

The progression of the initiative x is natural and earthly. It is inevitably the differential x in its spatiotemporal systems, in this case, the natural and earthly. It is also timely, rhythmic and circadian. Therefore an inevitability. As I have often said, the zero state is very important. So are the fractional differential states because the simplexes ensure the environmental states.

A smarter earth is a smarter universe as earth by its very own terms, a smarter totality of possibilities and propensity locally and universally, an engineering of humanity, a psychobiological existence of distinction rather than a mechanical upbringing, is the smartest thing biological life can get to know at the moment. There isn't some provable extraterrestrial life yet, is there? A better world is a more artificially appealing world, a more aesthetically appealing one, a mechanical one, an unnaturally automatic one. The initiative x will explore a smarter world, earth by its own terms, its own history, its own future, its own continuity, its own limit.

Here, I must redefine the negative state within the initiative x complex and simplex. The negative state in the initiative x simplex and complex is a state able to presuppose and surpass the positive state and the zero state. To do this, it needs to

incur the consequential-constituency states. That is, it needs to have two positive external states and even states of such. Such, it knows oddity as an externalized, coincidental and counterfactual consequence.

The initiative x is progressive in its path of spatiotemporal existence and agency intuitively and vectorally. It is also the differential x because it does not integrate as partial derivation without distinction. Partial derivation like the oddity line does not involve a differential x but rather a consequential one. We may now interchange the initiative x for the differential x . They are the same within set frameworks, that is, differentiated x points, degrees of differentiation between them.

Everything we envision at the moment as planar and spatial is from the xy perspective. It is important that I discuss every necessary aspect in the understanding of the natural initiative x and the natural differential x .

From the derivative equation, that is, $(1+1/e+e) = 4.086161269630487557$, for the differential x , we must engage essential equations. Some of those for the moment are $2e^2 - or + 1/e$ and $2e^2 - or + 1/e^2$. They give 14.41 and 14.64 respectively relative to the case in point and point migration if the subtraction becomes procedural for instance. Even differential x numbers in between consequential unit infractions on either side and the other for derivative differential continuity.

The e in the lower realm is more important relevant to venation and differential changes, that is, this cannot be applicable to XY reflection space. If we explore this, I must also tell you that by my calculations the differential x 4 is from -1 and 5 differential and the differential x 8 is from -2 and 10. Thus if we want to solve for the later for instance, we use the equation $- 2/e^2 = 10x$, we get the fractional radicals $e = i/\sqrt{5}$ and $e = -i/\sqrt{5}$. Radical axes are inevitable and will be discussed fully in later chapters.

Equations like these give insight into differential x leaps and displacement and spatiotemporal propensity and disposition, therefore for the need for radical axes in the conception and representation of the differential x . This assures me the mathematical e is not imaginary but rather imagined on an xy coordinate horizontal line. It is rather a natural radical spatiotemporal complex. It is environmental on the local, and universal.

Mathematical expectation and probability variability both in the discrete and continuous sense for the differential x inevitably invites experience of power and necessity of zero for the same.

Space is a consequence, never merely a part of natural life that can be taken for granted. Space is a misconception as xy portrays it. Since it is a radical and never merely an XY line, it proves itself as our perception of it when we look at the earth's atmosphere—it is a curvature. We can find the discrete and continuous variability for XY for instance with time =1, and x = 1 as there is only one instance of x in xy at any particular time t.

We will use a differential x that takes the reflection XYZ out as a unit so the value of the x in the xy can be known. So, for the continuous or discrete depiction we integrate from -1 to 0 in these cases because we want to know what the necessary base values are. Here is the mathematical expectation we will discuss because this series is mainly about the differential x. If you want to find out more, you can use the equation to do so— $\int (e^{tx} f(x)) dx$.

$$\int e (2e^2 + (1/e^2 - 1)) dx = 37.82067145...$$

We will discuss this numeric value briefly. I will discuss this from the perspective of the differential x. This is like saying 10.82... and because there is a zero before the fraction, the fractional number after the decimal point belongs to the differential x as a leap differential value. It becomes apparent from what we already discussed that there is a coincidence at 3773, a heinous horrible error also like 1010 or 3143. in space, this is catastrophic and therefore an antithetical catastrophe twice over.

It is like trying to home a non-tangential line where a curvature should be in space—horrorful error. Regardless of how you perceive science and scientists, the laws of nature are supreme whether we are aware of them or not. These laws caused us to be and is inevitably by these laws that we will eventually be destroyed. Artificial intelligence can never compare. Nature is life. Nature is death. In any and all cases, unpredictably inevitable.

Now to the numeric reality of this case for the differential x. If we find the differential x moment in the discrete and continuous sense for the equation, we have these:

$$\sum_{-1}^0 e^2 \left(2e^2 + \left(\frac{1}{e^2} - 1 \right) \right) dx = 205.61448793...$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^0 e^2 \left(2e^2 + \left(\frac{1}{e^2} - 1 \right) \right) dx = 102.80724396...$$

The continuous integration necessitates a counterfactual infraction, that is, the doubling of an initial condition and the zero ordinate.

The discrete summations necessitates higher dimensionality, differential x vectorial projections and infinite zero ordination. It would be unthinkable to enable quartered ordinations, won't it?

In chapter 13 of this book I will discuss *The Zero Ordinate: foundations of x ordinations*.

The discussion of astronomy is inevitable in this chapter because of the displacement equations. I must set some foundations for future discussions.

There is this difference in Newtonian and Einsteinian physics which has me taking sides. I have discussed this before in this book. I will summarize the need to take the Newtonian side as that stemming from the neglect of natural light as the source of light in Einstein's experiment, that is, causation, however much it is difficult to capture, is important to the conception of time differentials. While it may not be advisable, it is possible to say time is irrelevant to function except if the functioning doesn't exist. By causation here, I mean more than the mere perception of a source. That is a subject for a different chapter.

If you were to ask me how natural light behaves in space, I could say "Wild". And you must tell me I am making my judgment based on mere intuition. I could say it seems scattered, everywhere struggling to appear as waves, every time struggling to disappear as the same. You may say it is just that, waves. But then you may ask, how can something appearing stable in motion in space be the source of this chaotic wavefront in space.

You may then say maybe Einstein was right. Maybe we should just ignore this source, "let it go" along its own way and create an artificial source that is also stable but unmoving. And I ask, "Did you say the source is unmoving? Does this mean the effect is unmoving?" You shrug, ask me, "What does it matter?" And I say, "Whatever doesn't matter couldn't be the time. Time matters in this situation, doesn't it?"

Newtonian physics makes the irrelevance of time with respect to work and effects, well, irrelevant. Einstein proclaimed time was relevant and thus time lapse judgements and eventually the irrational possibility of time travel.

There is this erroneous belief that everything we see in the night sky is the past. In Astronomy, it is believed our eyes can perceive the moon 1.28 light years seconds late, that we see the sun 8.3 seconds light years away. But does that mean the sun is behind us? Are we behind it? Is the moon behind us? Are we behind it? Are the lunar tides responsible for any normalization of life on earth or the cycle that sustains such life? I have never been able to believe the sun rises in the east for very vital scientific reasons I will make available in the course of this book. The east, by all ordinate indications, is where the evening and night occurs. The sun could not rise there. It sets there. And the setting holds further scientific implications.

The perception is in the displacement of light rather than the time efficiency. And the displacement differential can be set apart from the time differential.

It is not time efficient or deficient. What's causal is in the limit of our visual perceptions. There could be some being alien to us that could have a faster perception of light. Does it mean we are in its past and therefore in our past. In fact, there could be some nonhuman animal we don't know of (because we have not accurately and painstakingly studied animals and animals cannot report their observations to us) that could have a faster perception of light. Does it mean we are in the past? No. Absolutely not. That will be ridiculous.

What matters here is that light is traveling within our scope of what it means to us for something to travel and the limit of our visual scope to perceive light. What matters is our perception of light not through time but rather over time (imposition of the limit of our perception) the perception, and not in the actuality of it.

Our perception of time is what we imagined traveling. Time did not travel at all, such our presumption of being able to travel through something that cannot travel is gravely and terribly erroneous.

This misperception, impossible conception projected that when we look at the skies, we are looking at the past is extremely degenerate. In fact, it is unintelligent.

When we look at the skies. What happens? This neurophysiological procession seems simple, evident, but it is nothing trivial in physics and physical reality. It is nothing that is easily apparent.

This speaks to the grave mistakes in the conceptualization of some earthly degenerate "everything" and that of wearing the earth's atmosphere as if it is not a natural earth shield that should be protected for the good of a breathable earth rather than a sickening fashion statement.

Have you ever seen earth? That is the question.

Now to those who are not truly knowledgeable, this question may seem ridiculous. I must be imagining my own stupidity to ask that. But I must ask it. When you look down and around you, you are seeing earth. When you look up, you are seeing something other and not quite. You are seeing the earth's atmosphere. To truly see the earth's atmosphere, you must disobey gravity and exceed the earth's edges. You must take to space.

In recent years, billionaires have been paid money to go to space. Did they really go to space? Or did they try to drive, dance around its edges? Oh those poor souls, those penniless grave diggers. They must have seen things we could never conceptualize alongside the aliens studying earth so it can be taken over or destroyed. Space is dangerous and has its own set of rules that are ultimately dangerous if not properly understood. As of yet, it is not properly understood in the least merely conceptual mode. It is certainly not stuff for the unintelligent to play around with and make wearable-like empty headed dolls in a perpetual nuthouse. The earth's atmosphere is not something to play around with or in.

One of the worst spatiotemporal conceptions is that the earth's atmosphere can be to the side. That is, that it can be considered eastern or western. I am sorry to say this can not be so. To imagine this is to imagine the world sideways, tilted on its sides, spinning about a different axis. Space must be subjected to a slightly different set of rules relative to that status quo of the universal rules. Small changes, what great effects they mutter.

When I look down at earth, what do I see? My readers, we have a lifetime of learning to do and I have offered myself the sacrificial lamb for this true and difficult earthly, natural and scientific journey. But here and now, I must wake you up from your silly dreams towards an awakening state that need not bother with the scientific lies of the past and its sociopolitical distractions but must forge itself in natural truth and dignity in science. It is something I will ceaselessly and painstakingly explore for truth. It must be done. Such, let the wise wear not their wearied uneventful false heads of great ignorance but rather a natural spatiotemporal earthly one.

What do I see when I look down at earth? Let me say it in an intuitive way so you may be able to understand a complex in simplistic terms. When I look down at earth I see two snakes, one each to my sides with rats in their mouths. I see the tails of the rats extruded in the mouths of the snakes. I must see the snakes. I must see the rats. And I must see the extruding tails of the rats from the mouth of the snake. It is derivation and anti-derivation. There is nothing more potent in its capture than that it is life.

The rat can never be swallowed. The rat can never die. The snake can not die but must never swallow the rat because I must see the tails. And the union of the snake held there with a rat it cannot swallow in its mouth with its tail extruding is a point. Point migration in the differentiating x complex is not easy but it can be understood. It is the ultimate natural life—a source without the apparent effects implicated in its pathology. One of such implications is the inter-derivability symbol.

The other is the differential derivative I discussed in Chapter 10—the Lambda Derivative that took Einstein's famous equation down to the number 6, the rat's tails at its tail end. It is an important natural derivation.

To have the conception of a wearable earthian atmosphere for instance, you have to be a non living non human enemy outsider of earth, degenerate to its conditions rather than affirming it. That is, to do so, you have to convex it from a concave position. It self-nullifies earth as it is, natural life as it is and as it enables life. But it gets worse.

It is the anti life.

It becomes apparent that the non-causal initiative light projection of Einstein's famous light equation cannot afford to have earth's atmosphere as part of its equation while it may be attributable to space. Einstein incurred this implication with the exclusion of That is, in the Einsteinian world, gravity, its sequence, and consequence propensities are gravely deluded. Light never falls. It can never rise. It lives in a gravely deluded world of constant light escapist travels. Oh just the thrills alone.


The sun never rises. The sun never sets. Life is just a continuity of one linear, infinitesimal bridge of the apparent to nowhere in void. Am I being sarcastic? There is something not merely apparent.

Nature enabled the reality of being alive. To think beyond it is to die.

Especially when you don't know you are dying. Especially when living and dying are perpetually in the same natural phase.

It is important to me that a chapter does not get too big to be understood. In the next chapter, *The Zero Ordinate: Foundations of differential x ordination*, we will discuss all that is yet to be discussed and more. Here, I set up a necessary foretelling of the events to come. That is, a foundation for more foundations.

I want to make use of the logic gates of integrated circuits to establish a NOT AND or NAND gate for the differentiating x consequential infraction so we can experience this in spatial terms. This is important because when the differentiating x experiences xy and the spatiotemporal environment must incur a consequential infraction, thereby driving derivability in reverse to achieve a differentiating x necessity. Therefore we must reverse the logic gate directions because they were based strictly on xy coordinates.



We are going to explore aspects of this hand drawn logic gate consequential infraction so you can fully understand it.

This is a reverse NAND gate for integrated circuits hand drawn for the purpose of the natural inevitability of the consequential infraction. The xx on the parallel incurs the infraction and downward point migration. The xx in between are valued at zero but because this is point migration on the move toward electromagnetism, it receives an infinity symbol. And the vectorization of the last xx works on point migration.

This is infinity in the real and natural mode. This is inevitably spatial. This is electromagnetic. This is earthly. If you were to try this with xy, you will get two parallel lines when xy assures one parallel line is enough as long as it is equal to its

own limit and beyond it. You get two parallel lines without any natural appendage and a z derivative that derives itself as its own limit. The only way this diagram is in the direction of xy is if infinity, underived, perpetually goes to 1. There is no possible world in which this is so.

Here I will test the validity of the electromagnetic infinite by devising an equation that will limit the z in xy coordination to a 2 dimensional Z as this has nothing to do with the differential x. Coming from the conception of a unit circle this is especially so. To take this z and its y out of the equation i must make the differentiating x equation return its very own differential in any capacity. That is, the 2 in 2x can be any number and still retain itself within the electromagnetic infinite.

We take the limit as x goes to infinity of $ax - x^2$. We may say this is taking back a differentiating slope with y never being able to be x^2 , the forever impossibility. This will always return $-\infty$, as is the case in the example with $5x$.

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (5x - x^2)$$

Solution

$$-\infty$$

We will talk about this in detail in the coming chapters. There is no rush. I have been talking about the necessity of zero, or what a lot of people call "nothing". What an anomaly. What I hardly spoke about is the natural beauty that goes with this unsuspecting seemingly subtle number I love so much in the mathematical, physics and physical sense.

I got a question from Schaum's outline of linear algebra I must work with here. I am happy to be a perpetual learner as one may not know what may trigger insight. In this question, something I hadn't thought of before becomes apparent to me. The question wants to find the norm or length of a vector $w = (\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{6})$. The calculation comes to $w = \sqrt{\frac{9}{36}, \frac{1}{36}, \frac{25}{36}, \frac{1}{36}} = \sqrt{\frac{36}{36}} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{1}} = 1$ Do notice that $\frac{9}{36}$ is actually $\frac{1}{4}$ and w here is a unit vector.

This had me thinking about the vectorization of xy from the unit. If we equip xy coordination here with the x differential in this case because the square root of 36 is 6, which is the Lambda derivative for Einstein's famous light equation in The Lambda Derivative, chapter 10 of an-animated-life, *alw*.

We equip it with x^2 in this instance and we have $36x^2$. The norm for this is $6x$. Now to get the differentiating x , initiative x coordination equivalence we need the dot product of the initiative x w which is $\sqrt{36x^2 + 36x^2}$. This equates to $6\sqrt{2x}$. $2x$ is the differentiating x for the initiative x^2 . It becomes apparent to me that reflection light or light from an artificial source does not go anywhere infinitesimally. The $6x$ derivation attributable to xy cannot project the differentiating x product. And we can know this by integration. This particular one:

$$\int_0^1 6x dx$$

Solution

3

And the farthest coefficient derivation, the Lambda derivative comes back to the differentiating x . This will be discussed beyond mathematics, in physics. We get the chance to talk more about axes, parallaxes and zero ordination in the next chapter, Chapter 13 of an-animated-life, *alw*, The Zero Ordinate: Foundations of x coordination.

Author's endnotes.

To support the endeavor of the differentiating x that must span years to come, please support the free books for life cause. Don't miss a thing. You can choose to do something resoundingly smarter and exciting if you like music. Explore music by ril on BANDCAMP. Thank you.

[ril on BANDCAMP](#)

[DONATE TO SUPPORT THE FREE BOOKS FOR LIFE CAUSE](#)

