

Edewlogics

Ade Ronke

The Unary Evolutionary Conception

© edewlogics, all rights reserved

Author's Note

This is an essay relevant to the [a/w. an-animated-life series](#). I recommend reading from chapter 9 or 10 if not from the beginning. The series is available free on my website, www.edewlogics.com. To support free works like this and others available on my site, please support the free books for life cause. Thank you.

BOOK SCHEDULED TO BE PUBLISHED THIS YEAR



Eleven year old Bami Dele is the experimental boy immune to what scientists get to know as the Femuran invasion. He and the chosen bearer of the only artificially engineered artedermal skin protector must get past every formidable detection of the Time Weavers. They must find the game inside a dead cat by a corner, finish the play, find the location of the secret of secret places, make the journey to get the Polarcapper and turn the wheels against their doomed fate.

[BAMI& THE TIME WEAVERS EXCERPT](#)

[DONATE TO SUPPORT THE FREE BOOKS FOR LIFE CAUSE](#)

You may choose to donate whatever you may through lomopeju@protonmail.com paypal handle. And you may put your support into the love and enjoyment of music at [rillmusic on Bandcamp](#). Thank you.

The Unary Evolutionary Conception

The differentiated x is physics. Cartesian xy coordination is Mathematics. If I say pigs can't fly you will believe me because you know this to be true. That's easy. if I say pigs can't swim you will believe me because you don't see them doing that. You've seen pigs luxuriating, swimming in mud, bland mud or muddy waters. Then it's easily understandable when I say pigs don't fly. They dock on land or they'll never dock. There are catastrophic indignities to mistakes nature couldn't have made man makes.

Take for instance, what I may call the Ponzi scheme and you may call the evolution pyramid scale, that famous description of evolution. There are deductions from life and science that enable me to make several natural predictions. For things to be in the same evolutionary pool as evolution is defined, they must instantaneously exist beyond a unit. This I may choose to call the "one body problem", that is, there must be more than one of them. You may want to argue it with me and say you know it's impossible for evolution to happen against the unit mode—it must be organically or rather biologically this way because it must be interspecies to happen.

And I must tell you I have an authentic problem with too many apes and only one man. And you say evolution is going towards a unit and that's what makes it evolutionary. And I must tell you that's the only and not the only mistake Darwin made, a huge mistake. You cannot have something and everything existing in a diverse culture going towards a single evolutionary unit. It's a natural impossibility. That is, it will be impossible for something indulging a unary operation to project progression not to know what that operation is. This unary operation, whatever your conception of it is, fails relative to the differentiating x . Did we evolve by the fittest or did we evolve by purpose, out of necessity. Did we evolve with intraspecies distinctions or interspecies distinctions? Is human evolution beyond adoption or adaptation? Did woman evolve first? Did man evolve second? Or is it vice versa?


Now, you must understand this—evolution by the very theory that it is, allows for as many of these questions to happen. The second necessity for things to be in the same evolutionary pool as evolution is defined, that is, evolution from a natural point of view, is that it could have happened in any spatiotemporal environment cultured for it; which also makes it unreasonable to have a single model for the evolution of humanity. The third necessity which is essential from the natural point of view is that distinct elements of the same distinct model must see eye to eye or the model is not adaptable.

if you say that the projection of height is biological from one species to another, you're certainly only thinking it incorrectly. Can we see or say the same for grasses and trees? As evident in the differential x , there are distinctions to truth and science that necessitates that when truth leaves a scientific life form, nature reconsiders and redirects its approaches to life. These approaches are also distinct in pathology. Thus, if there is, as there should be, a human scientific evolutionary map, at least two of the same species see eye to eye. Otherwise, life cannot propagate for that species. Never mind that it is merely a theory such evolution comes

extremely short for short and animalistic in a human mapped world, if you resolve it by its terms and its terms alone, it wouldn't have life as naturally as we have it? would it? You can argue it wouldn't have it at all. It would never be able to induct all the natural processes nature does.

If a pig exists in the human evolutionary species map before a human does or after human does, a human cannot exist. A pig must exist differently in a differential space not temporally relative to the human conception. That is, there is no biologically latent impotent condition for natural life. There are necessary and essential aspects of natural life that the unary evolutionary conception excludes, making it impossible for natural adoption. The simple implication you may deduce from this is that reproduction is not an evolutionary trait. Evolutionary conception, to be biological, must be distinct and interspecies, not intra species and biological. But there are greater implications we will further discuss in books. Humanity does not thrive by mere computations. Nature and humanity thrive on intraspecie sustainability, differentiating and integrative dependencies. These distinctions cannot be undermined. The unary evolution conception is erroneously an apex at the base of its existence and is doomed to eventual failure despite its seeming accumulation of after-the-fact inferential findings and deductions. Nature is everywhere happening never-all, never-all-at-once, once, twice, as many as can be, not any of them spatiotemporally one. The unary conception is a natural misconception.

ANOTHER BOOK SCHEDULED FOR THE YEAR



Five people are dead in the swimming pool of a high end resort hotel. Only one of them, a fame-hungry politician, is the suspected target. Three people are dead at a roof party on a high rise luxury building. The target is unidentifiable. The killer's calling card is a single black point on a blank. Lila Orilede is hired to find out who killed one of the random targets as the police run out of viable clues and suspects.

A Case in Point Excerpt

Support edewlogics. Your support matters.

DONATE TO SUPPORT THE FREE BOOKS FOR LIFE CAUSE

You may choose to donate whatever you may through lomopeju@protonmail.com paypal handle. And you may put your support into the love and enjoyment of music at [rillmusic on Bandcamp](#). Thank you.