



ade ronke  
edewlogics

THE

Foundations  
of differential x ordinations

ZERO

ORDINATE

## NEXT MUSICAL RELEASES



edewlogics



edewlogics

rilmusic  
Discover

Albums for the Blusie Jazz Comedy Festival:

- Blusie**
- Blusie remixes**
- Contrust-Quivers**
- Lasting things**
- Jazzpop and remixes**
- Would you be**

**Other works:** [edewlogics](#)

[Music by ril](#). The work as well as others like it can be found on my website available free at [www.edewlogics.com](http://www.edewlogics.com). To support free works like this and others available on my site, [please support the free books for life cause](#). Thank you.


edewlogics

ade ronke

**A Potent Throb**

© edwlogics 2026, all rights reserved

**CLOSE TO THE DEAD – Scheduled for this year**



*Raising one’s hands down existentially once is a definite degenerative disorder ( a cluster B factor). The degeneracy of raising one’s hands down existentially twice should be listed as a DSM 5 genetic and mental disorder, and the inability to raise one’s hands existentially as “imbecilic throughput schizophrenia”—ade ronke*

On January 7th 2026, I was stalked, harassed and arrested by the state police. The reasons for this is, in my view, the intellectual and daring proclivities in my book, *A Case in Point*. There are other reasons to be stated in this book. If every indication of my life doesn’t suggest this to you my readers, it is calling it: if I wasn’t to be me. would I not be dead? But I am cognizantly alive by my own recognizance. In other words I was arrested for being who and what I am.

What is to follow is false imprisonment, and a true story of great injustice and ceaseless attempts at cover up while I meet unsavory criminals along the way. If this hadn’t happened to me, I couldn’t have conceived of it. It happened to me. I must go beyond mere conceptions

**[DONATE TO SUPPORT THE FREE BOOKS FOR LIFE CAUSE](#)**

You may choose to donate whatever you may through [lomopeju@protonmail.com](mailto:lomopeju@protonmail.com) paypal handle. And you may put your support into the love and enjoyment of music at [rillmusic on Bandcamp](#). Thank you.

## **Author's Note**

As far as I am concerned, my reasoning is clear. And I have been very vocal about them. I didn't get the justice I deserved from the Democrats, so I supported Trump. And because I knew governmental abuse without committing the crime, I supported him again. I even cursed the Democrats because they never act as they say they would on behalf of the general public. Let's organize the important things the democrats lost. They lost Roe v. Wade because they lost the Supreme Court. Affirmative action was taken away from them. DEI was lost. Elections that they ought to have won were lost. And more in lieu.

I am no longer in support of Trump because Trump has been a huge and terrible disappointment in every aspect. And this country has lost some dignity and decency alongside what the democrats lost. I am in full support of such restorations. I want the privilege of being audited, which is the opposite of what Trump's position gave him. So, my readers, if there is no commission of a crime to be uncovered, which there isn't on my part, how difficult is it to get a forensic audit? Where is the crime? Who is committing them? How difficult is it to extend a much needed justice for life, labor, and liberty for at least the last 12 years? No justice, no peace.

My readers, wherever you are in the world, it would be far from ideal or humane to ask you to treat Open Knowledge merely fractionally as you treat OPEN-AI. Only one of the two, like mine, is human. Support my cause, a voice of insight, reason and knowledge in the age of #Modern AI systems. Support the human cause. If this work connects with you intellectually, spiritually, emotionally, or in any other way personal, it is free. If it doesn't, and you're rather of a different preference and won't ever come back to my works. It is free as well. Graces. I have never sought to run the world or rule it. Not my thing. I do seek to connect with humanity and make cross generational differences with practical, emotional and spiritual intelligence—matters connecting humanity and saving lives like mine against the odds life brings that I do know about intimately. Works are usually accounts of life, nature, science, politics and more in experiential reality. It is a privilege to be alive despite what I have been through. And I don't intend to take this deserved privilege lightly.

## IN WHICH SOMETHING UNEXPECTED HAPPENS

There is usually an ignorance of a self that self-defeats in the absence of a hyper-lucid conscious experience of a subject. Any engagement with a subject enabled because of a conscious need or present experiential engagement, that is, a conscious experience, trauma, or persecution, is engaged with hyper lucid reasoning. This is made differentiable from mere reasoning. Both the need for knowledge and the applicable end to such have aims seemingly larger than life, definitely larger than ordinary. A subject like mathematics or physics, for instance, may be useless when there is no clear necessity driving its application. I hate to say it, but the applicability of Oppenheimer's knowledge in World War II is an example. Its moral quotient may be rationalizable, but it ended a terrible war and gave the world the peace it fervently needed.

I thought because I was stalked, harassed, and arrested by common fools against my intellect and civil liberty in my works, I wouldn't be writing any chapter of *a/w* this year. I am mainly writing [Close to the Dead](#). But this year, NASA also embarked on the ARTEMIS II mission to orbit the moon in preparation for landing. I am now a version of a modern-day degeneracy, a persecuted writer in the deep south. Their persecution has made me more resolved to be myself. Theories about the particularity, or non-thereof, reasoning for this persecution are discussed in the book.

The general reason is that I was persecuted for being who I am and what I write. That said, I have been stalked by non-differentiating "lighter is better" x's for the cover of my book, *alw Chapter 10*—things in it that have nothing to do with them, things in it they don't understand and cannot because there is more to be known on the subject than they know—the Lambda Derivation for the Lambda Derivative. But, to make further foolishness of the common foolery here, the equation for the book and the cover has nothing to do with them. Thus, this empty floatation of great ignorance in the environment, without any capability or willingness to learn, a grave inadequacy where there should be eagerness and interest, the epitomic definition of illiteracy and lack of enlightenment shows itself.

The extension of a resting sun relative to the space-time vectored differentiating  $x$  is not Einsteinian. If the famous Einsteinian equation does not exist, the Caucasian and the non-differentiating  $x$ 's couldn't exist. But Einstein had cut off causation, the sun. Every brained-scientist knows he did it in order to control light. But is it natural light he's controlling? Or the artificiality from a flash that has nothing to do with natural light? However, in a sane world, could this unnatural light be associated with the natural differentiating  $x$ ? What degeneracy!

He did it in order to create artificial light, one, for instance, in one such applicability, which travels on and on in the infinite light-years. It couldn't be anything other than artificial. But the Lambda Derivative reckons with the sun in a natural world, reckons it in immersion and rise, reckons its inevitable presence in black space, higher, lower, side to side. And eventually reckons it not as a person or alien being but as a necessary environment for the sustainability of life on Earth.

## **IN WHICH THERE IS A DETERMINATION TO RESOLVE A NONENTITY AND UNNATURAL DESCENDANCY**

Here I am, ludicrously tempted to ask the ARTEMIS II crew if they saw Einstein's travelling light. The light-years must be progressing somewhere. In what direction is it going? Did it ever stop? Just to take a rest and function as itself? Does it orbit? Or does it just go straight? In what spatial mode is it going straight? In what space is it perpetually curvative? In what space could it be a combination of both? In what space and spatial propensity are these things happening? I am inevitably interested in the fate of this light or its functionality. The applicability is pretty well-known.

The formation of a life in black space is necessitated as being, differentiable as  $x$  in consequential infraction, to the possibility of a  $Y$ . The moon, the satellite, that is, the lifeless, oxygen-less, being-less entity we are familiar with, must be placed in questionable form. Is it an artificial or natural satellite? Science projects it as a natural satellite. But it is a satellite nonetheless; in the same way, ARTEMIS II is a satellite orbiting Earth—a man-made artificial satellite. In fact, in the lens of this discussion, Earth may be seen as a satellite orbiting black space, and the moon a dead end of the

satellite that is Earth orbiting black space. It makes you question, that is, begs the question: if gravity and antigravity had observable forms, what would that look like? It would be irrational, if not degenerate, for thinking scientists to mistakenly call an environment enabling life "monkey-space". Or entities-enabled-life human beings, "monkeys"? What degeneracy could insinuate such? What theory could undermine and devalue human life? In what geometric or physical form? In order to hold on to a dead or, rather, non-life-enabling superficial appendage, that is, a nonliving satellite like the moon, one couldn't possibly disable the life-enabling reality. That would be senseless. It is inconceivable.

Such degenerate, inconceivable notions cannot exist. And if they did, someone capable must undo such degenerate notions in science. At this point, it should be understood that things like satellites are mainly used and overused by tech companies artificially for the purposes of reception and communications. In other words, you can say that black space is the most quantum-enabling space relative to Earth. One cannot, in one's rational mind, say it is dead. The sun, the life-enabling sun, lives in this space, and this is the space Einstein cut off to enable his theory of light and his famous equation.

## **THE ZERO ORDINATE SPACE FOR LIFE**

The sun is not in blackspace for a third hand or dead appendage, but rather the life-enabled, life-enabling earth. In the same manner, I can say the equation supporting the cover of the Lambda Derivative has nothing to do with undifferentiated  $x$ . Or as an astronaut said on the ARTEMIS II mission, erroneously, and in my choice of words, "because our universe is one giant empty space (a paradox relative to the speaker), we are all one." I believe this was pronounced partially from a religious point of view. It does sound ideal, but it is erroneous because modern physics is proving that we are not. We cannot cut off one thing to get another. And science is not a sickening tool for the nonliving, would a thinking scientist maintain relativity with another human being, who is extremely diminutive, without any symbiotic return. Why would nature or evolution do such a thing?

I did ask a question openly about the NASA mission recently. I asked whether the Earth was higher than the moon or vice versa, depending on orbital perspective. Such, in a space like the moon, without air to slow things down, it must be that humans, the IT monsters with three arms, no brain wave patterns, and no heartbeat, do raise their hands after all.

Black is not just the prevailing Zero Ordinate space for life. It is the only space enabling life. It should never be misplaced or misrepresented. It should never be displaced to the life and space of a monkey, especially by scientists or hateful, prejudiced bodies or body politic. To do this is to disable life for something artificial, unreal. And in the case of the moon, something remotely artificial. What horrible, evil mistakes scientists have made in the name of genetic degenerate pride and non-factual theoretical evolution!

There are at least two spatial entities that are procedural in the procession of life on earth. That is, these things cannot be owned or be made representable as being. The one is the sun. The second is the ionic cloud space. And together the two can tell you what exists or does not exist in particular or non-particular spaces. They can tell when and where a scientific thought or theorem is degenerate relative to Earth and existence. For instance, it is merely easy to say that anything raised to the power of zero is 1. In fact, using physics to persuade mathematics, or rather, abstraction-mathematics, is merely easy in this case. Too easy. To find the Zeroth root of something is to find both the basis and the dynamic propensity field metrics of that thing. It is not zero as the origin. To be able to find such is divine.

## **THE MOUNTAIN BRIDGE THEORY**


In the last chapter, the differentiating  $x$  did a mountain pass, and the question was placed regarding how it was achieved. This problem requires a definite and necessary spatial theory. It must be rational and relevant to our cause to be upheld. Let's give it a name—the Mountain Bridge theory. This necessitates, for instance, an existential theory of a mountain between two trees, which must maintain the composition on each side in the Lambda derivative complex, driving down an even complex of twos, fours, and more; that is, a differentiating  $x$  complex differentiable from  $xy$  coordination. This must be the case on all sides, as essential points in space and optimization potentials. It is not a simplex but a side view in the soon to be derived "W",


for instance, that is mainly primary as opposed to dimensional and vectoral. So it must be explored mathematically. This mountain is a black one. It is necessarily so relative to the differentiating  $x$ . Any other relativity cannot be in the differentiating  $x$  spatial environment or part of the complex and must be somewhere else.

The theory also implicates the natural polar systems, the necessity for which is evident in the everyday life exemplified. This system is rooted for both mountains and tree stems. This theory is the circuitry for the organization of the life that we know in nature. It is not apparent and obvious but spatially necessary.

The mountain, for instance, must exist because it is a polar place marker for the differentiating  $x$  and for the necessity of black space—the functional space for life, and for any realizable spatiotemporal propensity. Some point markers relative to the same cannot be bridged—there is a pass for differentiating  $x$  over these markers, which are resonant up, down, and to the sides in natural even formats. These differentiating perspectives also migrate with the differentiating  $x$ . There are essential points, necessities, and specificity for this migration. This will be explored further in future chapters.

I would like to show you what is happening with logic at this point.





This system is absolute. And if you see the stems as is and the mountain as is, there is no vectoral movement. One, the mountain: illusions, movement without growth. The other, the stem: illusions growth, without movement. Some do try to see the alignment of mountain and stem as separable. The differentiating x perspective, which is a high spatial perspective, does not see this possibility. It is not a possibility from a spatial perspective.

## **THE W OF THE POSSIBLE EXISTING Y**

It becomes apparent that if you draw the mountain and stems closer, you will get a W, which is a bigger imaginative complex because it is a new formation from two things that are illusioning growth and movement.

It also becomes apparent that the Y involved here, which must necessarily exist here in however mode may be, is positive +. Y cannot be negative. Y has an absolute value. Any positive value around it is summative to the core value. All Y's are positive. Any form of additive or "W" possible from Y is not from the differentiating x because Y is the residue for the spatial consequential infraction which can be grounded.

Here I must note that there is no ghost play here whatsoever. That is, ghosts are whitely or white illusioned immaterial nonexistent things imagined to be in black space. Imagination but absolutely impossible. What belongs in black

space belongs in black space by mode of natural science. Without their worthless, unnatural, or rather fictional presence in black space, these things you call ghosts won't look ghostly. This ghostly nonsense in science is a waste of a thinking human's time. Pure stories. Non differentiating x's nonentity W's in the wrong spatial spaces in wrong and impossible environments—pure nonsensical stories.

It's crucial to remember that the relationship between the mountain and the tree—that is, the stems—is one-sided and parallel. In all directions, the mountain serves as the main differentiating factor of x relativity. For example, the mountain is the part of the differentiating x's dark space that can be externalized to make the Y what it is, allowing the stems to be what they are. There is just one side to which it can be externalized. It is parallel. And undoubtedly biased. For the differentiating x, it is a sitting position.

I couldn't imagine a "W" for the trees outside the mountain's existence. If such exists, it is not in the differentiating x's point of view.

## **OF TIME AND GEARS—NEWTONIAN VERSUS EINSTEINIAN TIME THEORIES**

How do these things relate with respect to time? Are they concurrent, subsequent, consequential, exclusive or otherwise. Do mind me, it is important that I convey the hint of the irony I am feeling.

When it comes to time, I have always been more Newtonian than an Einsteinian. And I've never been let down by my logic. They both make logic, but concentrating on the Einsteinian idea of time has gone wrong. Einstein has made everything superficial rather than natural or neutral, even though his theories and evidence are correct. Furthermore, nothing in natural science or processes can afford to be artificial. I have an insatiable feeling that everything is always relative but never distinct. Life is given to us by nature and its succession. In the strict conception of natural science nothing can afford to be artificial.

Can life along with its moments be entirely attributed to the theory of relativity, even though they cannot be recognized and comprehended in the Newtonian manner? I believe that position is the issue. Alignment is important for our world's functionality as well as the particularity of that functioning. Cutting anything off is a misalignment.

You may assume that the black mountain and the stems are out of alignment if you can envision—as I cannot—that the mountain pass does not

exist, or that the Mountain Bridge alignment does not exist in the indicated format. Since the relativity of such via black space is what permits the perception of light and time, no conception of life on Earth can afford to disturb this alignment.

Without this alignment, time cannot be observed. Because of the nature of black space, light and its observation are inevitable. Ionic procession allows us to observe the effects of space-time-relative events through dark space, which we refer to as the "weather." Based on these truths, time can therefore be seen and understood as the subtle variations in leaf color, sunshine, and other modes.

Where did Einstein obtain the time for relativity, or more accurately, for the creation of his famous equation, when he cut off this space as causation that we must let go of?

Positional differentials are what most scientists assign to time in relativity. Time itself, if it can be conceptualized, quantized, or quantified at all, remains unchanged and unaffected. We generally refer to the calculation of time in preceptive conscious awareness as "relative time." In the most basic form of least differential time, we were able to follow an observable event from the Einsteinian source point to the destination, using the differentials in calculable time as a measure of speed.

Differentiating x's zero ordination in black space is necessary for the observation, qualification, quantization, and conceptualization of time. In observable time-space events and situations, black space is an essential component. The mountains' and the stems' time-space are simultaneous in at least one parallel at the same precise moment. For this, there is no parallel universe because the earth and our current state of being and existing are incompatible. Parallel worlds by the slightest of alterations are an Einsteinian derivation, a purely fictional one.

Based on a parameter associated with an intangible escape velocity, there are no parallel universes. I eventually concluded that the idea of time attributed to the theory of evolution must be artificial time as well. It involves positioned relative artificial phenomena of time. Since only non-time can be truly attributed to it in a vastly large yet never directly applicable notion rather than conception of time. While reasonable, they are never conceptually feasible, not for a single conceivable moment.

Some can argue that, in contrast to the Newtonian approach, relativity offers a particular perspective on time. It does, but it is also a very ambiguous understanding of time. It has no proximate sense of time, or more accurately, no measurable possibility of time. It is a relative-relative double

call, or rather, positional relativity-relative to time, which uses positional reference points to interpret time.

The seasonal sense of time involving solar relativity in black space is excluded from Einsteinian relativity since the causal relationship between the sun and black space is severed. While the sun can be "cut off" abstractly with significant integrity flaws because it cannot be localized, black space cannot be "cut off."

## **ON OBSERVATION, PERSPECTIVE AND LIMITATION**

The differentiating x observer, who is the owner of the mountain pass, is the most significant part of this. This can be distinguished from the Einsteinian objective observer, who ignores causality in order to track a constantly elusive reflected light. The astronauts will soon inform us about the same traveling light that they must have discovered in space. Although this may not seem like a humorous joke at the moment, this seemingly illusive flight has a constraint that we shall address in later works. I'm going to talk about one such constraint. First, I have to dispel some existential nonsense and theoretical delusions.

The scientific error and farce of a differential time or event history that places some individuals in the past and others in the future needs to be corrected. This is just nonsensical. In other words, the idea that the Einsteinian perspective served as the foundation while being a positional projection is completely absurd. That is, the equation is in space but not in black space and has no base, that is, landing.

This is a physics problem in both simple and complex terms. Time cannot differentiate time. Time cannot perceive time. It would nullify as a conception of itself. Events cannot differentiate events. Events have no meaning without conception. It will also nullify the conception of itself. This is what occurs when you take nature, devoid of causation, on a non-relative walk of shame and refer to it merely as relativity—nature—a concept that encompasses all of natural space. Something is, therefore, fundamentally illogical and completely incorrect. A misconception about solar energy and its impact over time must also be accommodated in these facts. This is unavoidable.

Relativity, the theory, is unlike in Newtonian physics—time matters in a non relative manner and matters little to work and function. Work and

functionality matter. Even time functionality will not matter here. That said, time can neither differentiate nor perceive time. Time-event narrative does. Events can neither differentiate nor perceive events. Event-time narrative does. But time itself is not differentiable relative to work or functionality because time is nothing without work and functionality. Such, when Einstein took the perception of time relative to an event, light traveling, towards relativity.

In Einsteinian physics, there is a linearization of time in the linearization of light. From reason and perception, something inevitable to the necessary conception of time is missing. Something relevant to nature is very much missing. Without proper perceptive qualifying factors, this linearization is a scientific fallacy. It depends on the situation. It is relative. In relation to what? In this case, an event—an observable event—is required.

In light-years, where does time go? Is it making its way from tails to becoming and unbecoming on Mars? I have to ask myself this aloud, don't I?

According to thermodynamics, dissipation is the primary way that energy is lost as heat. It is not possible to use heat dispersion as energy. In other words, dispersed heat can't be used as energy or to do work.

Daylight, which is an emanation of light, serves as a symbolic representation of heat dissipating as energy. Emanation is defined as a perceptible conception from a source. That is, the emanation as perception cannot be unlinked from the source relative to work, productivity, functionality, or any measure of time. What functional value could observation have in understanding the differences between Einsteinian and Newtonian physics?

Work cannot be done with this energy. Additionally, because it cannot be utilized for work, it becomes a natural sense that is primarily related to the source rather than time. It must also be pertinent to the source if it is relevant to the work at all. In a way, quite Newtonian. The experience of natural daylight is unaffected by time, mostly because it cannot be utilized for work. Here, time is irrelevant. Relativity to the source is. Black space serves as the medium for this relativity with respect to Earth. It upholds the idea that we cannot avoid the sun. Our system becomes the solar system as a result.

Then I must ask the relevant question. Does the time in the famous Einsteinian equation,  $E = mc^2$  matter here?

Both speed and velocity leading towards the derivation of the equation requires time. What a conundrum? And within this conundrum, what is imaginary? Especially because of the detachment from causation, and the

ensuing relevancy of time, I don't believe the energy provided for the simulation of the travel of light through space in the Einsteinian equation has anything to do with daylight. And if it's escaping light, where is it going? Again, the Astronauts can tell us if they met it on their journey to the moon and back.


Rather than being a representation of daylight, it relates to light in a relaxed procession rather than from a natural source. It is not daylight but light from an artificial source going through an artificial slit projected onto an artificial wall, streamlined straight, and constrained—not induced or reduced, but conditioned, at the speed of light. Or whichever way it could be done. It is artificial. We must achieve similar aims under different circumstances in this chapter with the simplicity of mathematics and physics. We already did this with  $3\pi$  in Chapter 13. But we must go further.

## **TRANSCENDENCE: THE ZERO ORDINATE**

We need to transcend rather than cut off, so we can achieve the intended simplicity. There is at least one straight line in fig f below and its  $x = y$ . Using what we already know and beyond it, we must go beyond the  $x = y$ ,  $xy$  coordination pre-destinates. That is, we must go beyond the non-equatable equality equation towards actual differentials. That is, equitability differentials in real time.

The unit circularity and the triangularity within the circularity in  $xy$  coordination must be transcended. Indeed, the straight line that grounds it, grounds the  $\pi$  and such extensions without existential grounding in the 2nd and 3rd quadrant(fig f) must be extended. To do this, we must transcend the straight line stringency. So for the differentiation of  $x^2$  and the consequential infraction—a necessary condition for the existence of the differentiating  $x$ , we transcend by making it into a differentiated transcendental equation  $e^{2x}$ . We then have to remove the unit for the  $xy$  coordination circularity, which also makes  $x = y$  equal to 1. That is  $x = y$ ,  $x = 1$  and  $y = 1$ . X and Y have the same value.

We plot the graph of  $e^{2x} - 1$ :



To find what is wrong and where it is wrong in this case, we can plot  $xy$  coordination's  $x = y$  alongside this in the 2nd and 3rd quadrant:

This grave tragedy, no doubt, holds no value in the first and second quadrant, absolutely none for  $x$  or for  $y$ . It then goes down, not up. It goes under the 3rd quadrant to have negative decimal values both odd. It becomes apparent to me that it's not under at all, but quite the inverse and reverse. It is in reflection space, that is, in the first quadrant. The values are positive, open out. You will also realize it is not a tangent to the curve, but rather an anomaly in its entirety from its initial points of intersection and its final points.

Next we are going to have a series of equations to recognize both the  $xy$  coordination and  $x$  coordination aspects of this anomaly and identify the  $x$  coordinating aspect. In the first we add  $x = y$  and equate it to 0 to get  $x - y = 0$ . We then add this to  $x^2 = 0$  to get  $x^2 + x - y = 0$ . And in solving this first equation, we get  $(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{4})$  with probability  $p$  as  $\frac{1}{4}$ .

For the second equation we are going to assume the unit for both  $x$  and  $y$ . We add  $x + y = 1$  to  $x^2 = 1$ . We get the equation  $x^2 + x + y = 2$ . This solves as  $(-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{9}{4})$  and the probability of  $-\frac{1}{4}$ . The two probabilities comes to 0 that is  $\frac{1}{4} + (-\frac{1}{4}) = 0$ . The  $\frac{9}{4}$  comes directly from  $3\pi$  9 to 4 ratio with the solution being  $2\frac{1}{4}$ . Probability is completely absorbed in the unit. What happens when probability is absorbed by 0?




fig fII




fig fIII

We must look at another, more important aspect. We need to target the 0 between -1 and 1, that is, the processional 0 in blackspace, the black zero coordination in the earth's atmosphere. We must transcend, not cut off. Blackspace is environmental and extremely differentiable, so much so, it is the medium of possibility of anything because "everything" is definitely a possibility (it can never be a reality). Zero Ordination in blackspace must be 3D and functional because anything vectoral in the cosmos must be able to move within it. It is the medium of movement. It is the medium of the differentiating x. It can never belong to something un-belonging to it, especially if it is not proximal. This is a necessary 4D space as it involves both gravitational and antigravitational forces. This, I must reiterate, is strictly differentiating x space. It necessitates the consequential infraction, which necessitates two Y occurrences. I again reiterate, this is not a space for nondifferentiating x's, or rather X and Y. 2 here is not 1 + 1. It is simply 2. If it imagines 1 + 1, it is processional, and Y is already out. That is, Y is out. And any 1 + 1, outside this is simply artifactual, or rather artificial and superficial. It is also from a differentiating x point of view, 1 entity being added to another of the same exact entity.

We can see this by adding up the two equations:

$$x^2 + x - y + x^2 + x + y = 2.$$

Which equals  $2x^2 + 2x = 2$ .

That said, we must do something very essential. We must differentiate the individual terms in this equation:

$$\frac{d}{dx}2x^2 + \frac{d}{dx}2x = \frac{d}{dx}2.$$

Which equals  $4x + 2$  or in resolution  $4x + 2 = 0$ .

That done, I am going to remove the transcendental equation and equate equations in fig fII and fig fIII to 0. And this brings us to how I achieved the mountain pass in the last chapter. Here are the two equations to graph:

$$x^2 + x - y = 0.$$

$$x^2 + x + y = 0.$$

It is the opposite of contour math, that is the normal understanding of contour is a parallel opposition in an opposing direction. It is not the 2D projection of a 3D surface but rather a fully realized and mature 3D to 4D projection. It is not a cover or some illusionary version of some trivial make up. It is physics. It is the indicator of presence in space, able to exist in and sustain the space. It is inherent and obvious rather than contoured to fit something other than what it is. It is both antecedent and subsequent, or rather, postsequent. It is superior and inferior. It is the differentiating x atmospheric landscape. It is, as you may know, the beginning and the end.

Let's explore some tangential propensities of this zero ordination transcendently. We can use the hyperbolic tan because this can not, like the parabola, be merely one sided, even if the other side is not easily discernable.

$$\text{Tanh } x = \frac{\sinh x}{\cosh x} = \frac{e^x - e^{-x}}{e^x + e^{-x}}.$$

If we equate x to 0, we get  $\frac{1-1}{1+1} = \frac{0}{2}$  which is 0.

We must find the value for the arctanh x at x = 0, we get  $\frac{1-1}{1+1} = \frac{2}{0}$  which is  $\infty$ .

Between the blackspace and infinity, there is nothing but space, the differentiating  $x$  space, blackspace. And it is irrelevant if this is  $\infty$  or  $-\infty$ —the relativity with the arctanh is an arc.

Now to the environmental realizable, localizable potentiality of Zero Ordination. We must use equations that transcend and have the propensity to move and one definitely able to get rid of the unit  $xy$  coordination is based on.

Arctanh ( $x^4 - 1$ ) does several things. It extends on four sides and removes the unit down. It transcends and externalizes the  $Y$ . It is for instance, a projection of the consequential infraction. And  $xy$  must be the residual in 2D projection from 3D surface no longer in the same space. In the previous *alw* chapters you've met this downward projection in tangential form. It eventually becomes important to parameterize this residue. Here, we must represent it geometrically.




fig d

The bigger bracket is that of localization which must be done in blackspace's Zero Ordination. It is inevitable for existence, that of the differentiating  $x$ . Individuality, distinction and individual definitions matter. When we look at the tangential triangularity that enables the trigonometric relativity in  $xy$  coordination, we must realize that it can be parameterized and externalized

for the Zero Ordination. And because of this, the geometric figure from the equation looks like fig e.




fig e

It would be insane, at least to me, to say the differentiating  $x$  alongside the blackspace Zero Ordination is “everything”. Such a thing does not exist to me. Such a thing does not exist. Such a thing does not exist at all. Such is this impossible theory of everything. It is logically the epitomic annihilation, existence of a localized identity, of individuality, of life as it was, as it is, and will always be. This is why we must have a localized identity here. Distinction matters. Here, we are discussing the particularity of the differentiating  $x$ .

Darwin believed in distinctions between “self-generating” genetic pools. That is, members of the same race, for instance. I am not in favor of the Darwinian theory of evolution. It’s just one of those. But biologically, this makes sense to me. There isn’t a more differentiable set of people than truly black people. I know this. Here, however, although Darwin believes intelligence matters to survival, I do not agree. If anything, intelligence, as we have seen through modern technological development, means more laziness, more privilege, more luxury, more comfort, rather than the survival that could truly matter to life, animal survival.

Surgery and modern medicine do extend life. However, life expectancy is quite short. Additionally, humanity has persevered and survived a great deal. It seems to me that the intelligence Darwin was so proud of is now much into “unnatural selection”. Animal survival matters. So does intelligent

survival. Intelligence is now predominantly probable in the form of Artificial Intelligence. Whether it is to be our downfall is irrelevant to the fact. Intelligence, conventionally considered, no longer has the privilege it was once perceived to hold. Yet differentials are differentials and mainly genetic with genetic differentials. Distinction and individuality matter.

Next, we deal with more anomalies. I am certain the earth is upside down in xy coordination because there are two negative spaces on earth, neither of which is represented. I am also certain, as I will prove later, that XY is planar and strictly rooted in positive space, that is, in the first quadrant, and anything under the upper 180 degrees is a terrible error. Such fig f is made up of two subsequent errors. I must now find out if any moves happened, something I believe couldn't happen because of the planarity. To do this, we calculate without curvature or transcendence, that is, angularly. To do this, we use the non-graphable equation  $e^{2\pi} - 1$  which equals 534.49165552476473650304 to the twentieth decimal place.

Next, we must move the origination point through point migration, which most vectorization in mathematics do not do or rather do perpetually relative to the origin, that is, the origination point. We must locate the origination point and verify it is there. We do this by going 360 degrees. It takes a complete angular rotation. So next, we must imagine point migration to an unachievable point in xy coordination. We do this by at least going another 180 degrees to get to a plane . We then add this up to 540 degrees. I can now have the Einsteinian Lambda Derivative number come back to me. Because we must subtract these two numbers and get ~6 (approximately 6 and subfractionally approximately 6 as well. In whole number terms,  $540-534 = 6$ ).

The most important question here before we discuss the Zero Ordinate further, is, in fact, extremely important: **Did this move at all?** To simply say, this move is the very definition of degenerate mathematical orientation. Here, for future use, the powers of 6 from 0 to 10.

Power	Value
$6^0$	1
$6^1$	6

$6^2$	36
$6^3$	216
$6^4$	1296
$6^5$	7776
$6^6$	46656
$6^7$	279936
$6^8$	1679616
$6^9$	100077696
$6^{10}$	60466176

We're about to tell a tale of origination and circularity that isn't a tale at all. It also allows me to subtly explain why I believe the origination point of the rectangular ordination in the unit circle isn't altogether a thoroughly earthly one. This also pivots the differences between zero origination, that is, the origin at zero, and zero ordination.

There are two things that happen on very hot sunny days in very sunny atmospheres, like Africa, for instance. The blue space in the uppermost part of the earth, which we can call Negative Space because of ionization that happens around it, separates further from the white-looking water vapor without the yellowness, redness, and colors associated with clouds at sunset. It is impressive that Negative space is not a spatial abyss staring down at us from outer space. Yet it is staring down at us. Ever wondered why? Or how? I have.

I am referring to the Earth's solar system, especially relative to the Earth at midday. That is, when the space between the earth's plane, that is, the earth's perceived ground, is such that the earth may be perceived as flat while it isn't. At midday, the warm blue skies and the white-looking ionized water vapor are further differentiated, and the space between them, always there, becomes more apparent. The other thing noticeable in a warm, blue

sunny sky sometimes is the abject absence of water vapor. Where does all the ionized water vapor go?

I am going on this exploration using the most essential aspects of natural science. If we imagine the Earth as Cartesian coordinate-driven, which it isn't, we must imagine the Earth's core as the center of the coordinate system, that is, the origin. Yet this fails evidential science in the most necessary of ways. It imagines that flat-earthers make no point in their assumption that the earth is flat, or that there is no scientific rationality for why their claims are not true. Their claims can be observedly-made-true while it isn't by xy coordination. With the differentiating x's Zero Ordination, it cannot be true.

Yet, if we use the earth's core as the origin for coordination, we miss what is feasible, observable, and essential to us—that the earth is made of space or, in this case, air as well as matter, in this case, sand in the ground that could make up the earth's core. Let me say this rigidly. The planar and feasible earth is necessary for its coordination. If we can't level it, we can't live, stand and move on the habitat we call earth. In other words, I want a vectoral representation of the Earth. And when we do this, we must admit to a flat earth that isn't true. As a geometric figure or geometric relativity may admit to planar sections. We don't live inside the ground, unless you experience earth in the possible time of the Time Weavers in my fictional science fiction, Bami&the Time Weavers.

## **OF SUN AND SULPHIDES**

Something has bothered me in science for a while. And I can tie physicists to it. I must confess, not just something . Quite a lot of things. Meanwhile, there are drawings of the sun as bright yellow, which may seem appropriate, but depicting the sun in this manner is the very same thing as scientists making fun of flat earthers as stupid, for instance. In drawing the sun as yellow, scientists are flat earthers. They are at the same stupidity level as flat-earthers.

So what does the sun look like? The best place to find out is in blackspace, the same and only space where it can be proven that the earth is not flat through natural observing eyes. I had been mauling and discussing this issue as I didn't think it was worth it on the bigger, differentiating x blackspace picture. I was, after all, doing this simple but essential research for a different book. a fictional book but on the same subject, I researched

chemical reactions of sulphides, Carbonate sulphides, Hydrogen sulphide, Mercury Sulphide and such because they will enable further understanding of the atmosphere. When I got to Mercury Sulphide, I found a picture of yellowish metallic bonds, and I was irritated enough to know I must discuss this. Mercury Sulphide is very dark red with black streaks, as it should be. No yellowing on it. Mercury is the closest planet to the sun.

Why do scientists lie to common fools when they are supposed to have the dignity of truth? For pride and prejudice? For narcissism without dignity? This lie, for instance, allows common fools to believe they can be the sun, an abject impossibility for any being. In that way, is science making common fools smarter or stupider? I say science makes them stupider, sometimes dangerously so.

The sun, in black space, where the sun lives, is very dark red, that is, black red and black spots. It is not burning as they say it, but rather calm in itself, like every other planet. It does have its flaws, but I am certain the technological future will prove this correct. Most of what you see as yellow is the projection of heat through space, that is, relativity with earthian space, and this is projected further down rather than across. Black red with black spots makes great sense to this author of *A Case in Point*.

As for the Sulphides, there is much learning and thinking to do on them. Maybe we will discuss them in a future chapter or a different book entirely. I am thankful that, in the age of modern AI systems, I no longer need a privileged platform or a paywall to have access to scientific studies I am intuitively and intensely inclined towards. I can now be the unprivileged introvert I am and still be the learner I am on my terms. There's a lot of love for that.

With the inevitable inclusion of space, we have come to an important and relevant relativity to existence—the concreteness of existence in spatial reality. Although I have shared my views on dimensionality before in one of my nonfiction writings, I have not changed my mind since, but rather fortified my hypothesis for spatial reality. Third dimensionality, the xyz planar relative in cartesian-coordination, feels extremely inadequate to handle concrete reality in spatial coordination.

4th and 5th dimensionality is needed for a conception of concreteness in space, that is, they are needed as proof of existence within the existential environment. They are, in other words, essences of spatial relativity from existence to environment. 6th dimensionality must extend towards the solar system and astronomy at large.

I will get mathematical here briefly so you can understand what I am saying as much as you can for this chapter. Let's do simple euclidean mathematics

for xy coordinates, xyz's 3 dimensionality and the differentiating x coordination we are exploring in this book.

With the Cartesian coordinate, we have a 2 to 3 measure, the relevant numbers being 2 and 3. And the possible value for the hypotenuse will be the square root of 4 plus 9, which gives 3.6 or rather 3.606. With the differentiating x complex, the numbers are 456, the relevant numbers are 4 and 5, which we compute as the square root of 4 squared plus 5 squared, the square root of 16 plus 25. This gives 6.4 or rather 6.403. If you add the two digits for the cartesian hypotenuse, you get 9, and for the differentiating x, you get 10. The zero in the 10 is extremely important to the conception of zero ordination. And the Zero ordination doesn't return to the one. The one is a lame position, that is, a sitting position rather than a vectoral one.

The inevitable difference between zero origin and Zero Ordination is in the fact that the origin is very much like living inside the ground without any perception of movement, but a projection of it. Zero ordination can have an origin at any point between infinity and negative infinity. It has nothing to do with the lie that looks like the truth, like the flat earth problem or xy coordination equity problem, but is rather the truth. It is the arc of truth and will be explored further in further chapters.

### Author's Note

It is important to me that you support the free books for life cause or give your support through music by ril. Please do so if you can afford to do so. Thank you.

#### **[DONATE TO SUPPORT THE FREE BOOKS FOR LIFE CAUSE](#)**

You may choose to donate whatever you may through [lomopeju@protonmail.com](mailto:lomopeju@protonmail.com) paypal handle. And you may put your support into the love and enjoyment of music at [rillmusic on Bandcamp](#). Thank you.

Albums for the Bluesie Jazz Comedy Festival:

**rilmusic**  
Discover

**Bluesie**  
**Bluesie remixes**  
**Contrust-Quivers**  
**Lasting things**  
**Jazzpop and remixes**  
**Would you be**

#### **Other works: [edewlogics](#)**

[Music by ril](#). The work as well as others like it can be found on my website available free at [www.edewlogics.com](http://www.edewlogics.com). To support free works like this and others available on my site, [please support the free books for life cause](#). Thank you.

## Scheduled for Next Year: Chapter 15

